

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Johann Karl Kitta KITTA ET AL.-I 3043 10/801,287 03/16/2004 EXAMINER 25889 7590 01/24/2006 WILLIAM COLLARD ROY, ANURADHA COLLARD & ROE, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576 3736

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{C}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-	
Office Action Summary	10/801,287	KITTA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anuradha Roy	3736		
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. ' CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of tily period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Moy statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i><u>January 17, 2006</u>.</i>			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.			
·	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice t	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement			
	and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)				
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			1 121(4)	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by				
.—	the Examiner. Note the attack			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority do3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ane	
application from the International		sir received in this realional of	.gc	
* See the attached detailed Office action for		ot received.		
			,	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· -	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	~ · · · ·	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I drawn to Figures 1-6, Species II drawn to Figures 7-14, Species III drawn to Figures 15-17, and Species IV drawn to Figures 18-26.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was attempted on January 17, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anuradha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-6169 and whose email address is anuradha.roy@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 8:00am and 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,287 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

~AR~

MAX F. HINDENBURG MAX F. HINDE